

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,966	02/28/2002	Gerald D. Eckstein	8266-0823	3797
7590 08/06/2004			EXAMINER	
	ey & Evans LLP			
Intellectual Pro 2700 First India	ana Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
135 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, IN 46204		DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	1//
10/085,966	ECKSTEIN ET AL.	$\mathcal{V}^{\circ}$
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric Keasel	3754	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>May 24, 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))
8.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		The "Status of Claims" section states that claims 21-27, 40, and 56-63 are pending and that claims 21, 25, 27, and 40 are withdrawn from consideration. It is silent as to the status of claims 1-20, 28-39, and 41-55, although these claims include both cancelled claims and a pending claim (claim 55). The Appendix lists claims 1-21, 25, and 27-54 as being cancelled even though claims 21, 25, 27, and 40 are withdrawn from consideration. The "Status of Claims" section and the Appendix need to be in agreement with each other and with the claims of record in the application.

Lieu Reasel & AULDOUY
Eric Keasel
Examiner
Art Unit: 3754